



of 3 copies
MD
269

MANGALORE REFINERY AND PETROCHEMICALS LTD
(A subsidiary of Oil & Natural Gas Corporation Ltd.)
HR DEPARTMENT

By Registered Post A.D.

FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

UNDER SECTION 19 (1) OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Ref: Decision No. : 017/2011-12

Ref: Appeal No. : FAA/ 017/2011-12

March 30, 2012

Name of the Appellant : Shri Arun Kumar
C-201, PTS, NTPC Township,
Kahalgaon, Bhagalpur-813214

Name of the Public Authority : Mangalore Refinery and
Petrochemicals Ltd.
Kuthethoor Post,
Mangalore – 575 030.

The present appeal dated 04.01.2012 has been referred by Shri Arun Kumar hereinafter referred to as the appellant, against the reply / decision bearing reference No. MRPL/HR/RTI /115/2011-12 dated December 27, 2011 of Shri P. A. Jose, CPIO, Mangalore Refinery and Petrochemical Limited, Mangalore – 575 030.

Facts:

Shri Arun Kumar, , the applicant, vide application bearing reference No. Nil, dated November 11, 2011, received by CPIO on November 29, 2011, sought following information. Therefore, the CPIO provided the following information on December 27, 2011.

The extracts of original application made by the applicant and reply given by the CPIO are reproduced hereunder:

Sl. No	Query by the applicant	Reply by the CPIO
I	"All relevant documents with correspondence/supporting related to my case "Refund of WDV amount on Car Loan/Scheme" along with notesheet/approval/reply/supporting against my mail/letter /query during the period mentioned above in response of my each and every mail/letter which include approval accorded by MD on this subject.	The relevant available documents with related to your case " Refund of WDV amount on Car Loan/Scheme" attached as Annexure 'A'(36 pages).

264


<p>The detail clarification required with reason for non communication of reasons for non refund and person competent to make decision in this regard as per company policy, whether papers are moving /pending with whom and for how many days/months/years is required.</p>	
---	--

As against the reply furnished by the CPIO, the appellant preferred the present appeal. The appellant in his appeal has informed that the reply is not satisfactory and has raised following appeal **Quote:** "If the documents provided are considered to be only relevant documents then there is no movement of papers from HR-Finance-HR for processing of my case in this regard because no such papers Inter Office memo is attached with reply. Whereas I have been confirmed by mail for documents/papers sent to Finance after approval of MD vide mail dated 15/12/2009 for payment (attached). I was contacting Finance for last 2 years for payment on the basis of this mail. May Please arrange for clarification". **Unquote.** Views/Comments in respect of the appeal were called for from the CPIO.

DECISIONS:

The document referred in your Appeal i.e. the document referred vide mail dated 15.12.2009 is enclosed here with.

Hope this meets the requirement for the information sought.



Sushilchandra
GM (HR, Admn. & Training)/ First Appellate Authority
Mangalore Refinery and Petrochemicals Limited
Mangalore-575030
Tele. No. 0824 2882002

Copy Forwarded to:

1. Shri P. A. Jose, CPIO, Mangalore Refinery & Petrochemicals Limited, Mangalore 575030.