

Mangalore Refinery & Petrochemicals Ltd  
Kuthethoor P.O, Via Katipalla, Mangalore 575030

**FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

Sushil Chandra M  
AA & GM(HRD & CSR)  
HR Dept, MRPL, Mangalore, 575030

**UNDER SECTION 19 (1) OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005**

**Decision No. 02 /2013-14**  
**Appeal No. 02/2013-14**

Name and address of the Appellant : Shri Sumit Tomar  
S/O A P Tomar  
41/48, Ajanta Colony, Garh Road  
Meerut, Uttar Pradesh - 250004

Names of CPIO and Public Authority : Shri P A Jose, CPIO  
MRPL, Mangalore

The present appeal dated 11.06.2013 received by AA on 15.6.13, has been preferred by Shri Sumit Tomar, hereinafter referred to as the Appellant, against the order bearing reference No MRPL./HR/RTI/256/2013-14 dated 31.05.2013 of Shri P A Jose, CPIO, MRPL Mangalore.

**Facts:**

1. Shri Sumit Tomar, the applicant, *vide* application dated 17.04.2013 received by CPIO on 03.05.2013, sought the following information under the RTI Act, 2005 from the CPIO, Shri.P A Jose, HR Dept, MRPL, Mangalore:

- 1) Final marks of written test and interview of all the students who have attended the interview for electrical stream w.r.t Advt no.42 for engineers / executives.
- 2) Weightage to written & interview marks in final selection. Please also mention the document name & page no. which gives guidelines in this regard.
- 3) Ratio of final selection of candidates to candidates called for interview. Please also mention the document name and page no. which gives guideline in this regard.

2. The CPIO, *vide* his/her letter bearing reference No. MRPL./HR/RTI/256/2013-14 dated 31.05.2013 replied to the applicant.

3. Dissatisfied with the reply given by the CPIO, the Appellant has preferred the present appeal dated 11.06.2013.

110713

3. The Appellant, in his/her appeal dated 11.06.2013, has stated, *inter alia*, the following grounds for preferring the present appeal :

1. In reference to my question no.2 & 3 (letter dated 7.04.2013, received by CPIO on 03.05.2013), please attach a A4 size copy of the guidelines in this regard. Information received from CPIO MRPL (MRPL/HR/RTI/256/2013-14 dated 31.05.2013) is missing the attachment in this regard, as it only indicate the guidelines.
2. Annexure I received from CPIO MRPL do not have any official seal/stamp over it. Please provide a copy of the same with official seal/stamp.
3. As mentioned in Answer with respect to point no.2, Weightage of written test and interview marks in selection is 75:25. By this calculation rule candidate Sumit Tomar is getting more marks in total then candidates finally got selected in General Category. Please clarify why the name of candidates Sumit Tomar doesn't appears in the list of finally selected candidate for electrical stream.
4. Candidate summit Tomar obtained 14 marks in interview. In the interview marks cell it is mentioned that "failed in interview." Please clarify this statement with A4 size paper copy of rule which supports it.

5. The Appellant prayed that the CPIO be directed to give the correct information to the Appellant as per his application dated 17.04.2013

6. The views/comments on the appeal were called for from the CPIO.

Decision:

1. The Appellant in his appeal dated 14.6.2013 has stated that CPIO has not furnished the information. Based on the review of relevant documents, my decision is as follows :

- a. As regards to sl.no.1 of the appeal, the appellant in his application dated 7.4.13, has asked to mention the name of the document & page number and has not asked for a copy of the document. CPIO has accordingly supplied the information sought, hence the decision of CPIO is upheld. In case the appellant is desirous of having the copy of the document, the applicant is required to raise a fresh application.
- b. As regards to Sl.no.2 of the appeal, CPIO is directed to provide a attested copy of the document provided already, within 10 days of receipt of this order.
- c. As regards to Sl.no.3 of the appeal, though providing information on clarification such as "why" is out of the purview of the RTIA, in keeping up


(A)

11/07/13

the spirit of RTIA, based on information available in the Annexure 1 attached to the reply dtd 31.5.13, name of Mr.Sumit Tomar is not appearing in the finally selected list of candidates, as Mr.Sumit Tomar failed in the interview.

- d. As regards to Sl.no.4 of the appeal, appellant has sought additional information, arising out of reply given by CPIO, whereas CPIO has provided the information sought, hence the decision of CPIO is upheld. In case the appellant is desirous of having the copy of the desired document, the applicant is required to raise a fresh application.

2. The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.
3. A copy of this order be forwarded to the Appellant and the CPIO.

  
Sushil Chandra M,  
AA & GM(HRD & CSR)  
MRPL, Mangalore

Place : Mangalore

Dated :11.07.2013

Copy endorsed :

1. Shri Shri Sumit Tomar, S/o A P Tomar, 41/48, Ajanta Colony, Garh Road, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh - 250004
- ✓ 2. Shri P A Jose, CPIO, MRPL, Mangalore